

Additional Effect of Mechanical Stimulation on Mastectomy Scar **Management - A Prospective Randomized Control Trial**







LEUNG AKP, PANG MYC, CHAN EHS, CHAN SWW, WONG CY, CHAN ACM 8 May 2018

Breast cancer - most common type of cancer in women (HK Cancer

Registry 2015); scarce study on mastectomy scar management

Objective of the Study:

Investigate efficacy of mechanical stimulation (MS) in -

- alleviating scar appearance
- reducing shoulder morbidities
- improving arm functions
- enhancing quality of life (QoL)

in women with breast cancer after mastectomy.

Mechanical Stimulator non-invasive massage to mastectomy scar



Theoretical Framework

Inclusion Criteria:

- Women with mastectomy performed in recent 6 weeks
- Age >18 years old
- Able to attend physiotherapy Exclusion Criteria:
- Altered mental state
- Scar infections / inflammation
- Unhealed scar
- Bilateral breast cancers
- Pre-existing arm impairments
- Radiotherapy received
- Sensitivity to MS
- Unstable medical conditions



Figure 1: Experiment Design & Patient Flow

Preliminary Results: As at Dec 2017, 73 subjects completed the study. At post-op 6month follow up:

 TG vs CG : significantly improved scar appearance as reflected by VSS (2.26±1.69 vs 3.31±1.87, p<0.001)

Normal color (resembles nearby skin)

Hypopigmentation

Hyperpigmentation

Pigmentation

0

2



Vascularity Normal Pink (slightly increased in local blood supply) Red (significantly increase in the local blood supply) 2 3 Purple (excessive local blood supply) Pliability Spectrophotometry for 0 Normal Measuremen Supple (flexible with normal resistance) Yielding (giving way to pressure) 3 Firm (solid/inflexible, not easily moved, resistance to normal pressure) Δ Banding (rope-like, blanches with extension of scar, does not limit range of motion) 9, 5 Contracture (permanent shorting of scar producing deformity or distortion, limits range of motion) Height (mm) 0 Normal (flat) <2 p<0.001) <2 and >5 **OG** (25,26±17,70 vs 18,05± 16.70, p=0.01) **QoL (FACT - B)** TG (75.78±24.89 vs 53.58±20.08, p<0.001) CG (70.34±22.71 vs 60.21±14.03, p=0.05) (No intergroup difference found, except for innes (FWH, Hong Kong version) invalident replace of Edwar WC Las at ed. Dissus of Wein-Hongstei, Hong Kong Autor Descin Topic VSS)

Conclusions & Bring Home Message

- Physiotherapy reduced shoulder morbidities, improved arm functions, and enhanced QoL, in women with breast cancer after mastectomy.
- Incorporation of Mechanical Stimulation into Conventional Physiotherapy enhanced clinical outcomes in alleviating scar appearance.
- Extended use to different scar over different body parts!

Thank You!

